

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHERN DIVISION**

REGIONS ASSET COMPANY, et al.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
)	Civil Action No. 2:06-cv-882-MHT
)	
REGIONS UNIVERSITY, INC.)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

DEFENDANT'S OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS

COMES NOW the defendant Regions University, Inc. and, pursuant to this Court's Uniform Scheduling Order, files the following objections to the plaintiff's designation of exhibits:

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Objection</u>	<u>Rule</u>
1	hearsay	Rule 802, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>
104	hearsay irrelevant	Rule 802, <u>F.R.C.P.</u> Rule 402, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>
131	hearsay irrelevant	Rule 802, <u>F.R.C.P.</u> Rule 402, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>
133	hearsay inadmissible expert testimony	Rule 802, <u>F.R.C.P.</u> ; Rule 702, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>
135	hearsay inadmissible expert testimony	Rule 802, <u>F.R.C.P.</u> Rule 702, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>

216	hearsay inadmissible expert testimony	Rule 802, <u>F.R.C.P.</u> Rule 702, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>
217	hearsay inadmissible expert testimony	Rule 802, <u>F.R.C.P.</u> Rule 702, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>
218	hearsay inadmissible expert testimony	Rule 802, <u>F.R.C.P.</u> Rule 702, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>
219	hearsay inadmissible expert testimony+	Rule 802, <u>F.R.C.P.</u> Rule 702, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>
220	hearsay inadmissible expert testimony	Rule 802, <u>F.R.C.P.</u> Rule 702, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>
225	hearsay irrelevant	Rule 802, <u>F.R.C.P.</u> Rule 402, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>
267	hearsay inadmissible expert testimony	Rule 802, <u>F.R.C.P.</u> Rule 702, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>
365	hearsay irrelevant	Rule 802, <u>F.R.C.P.</u> Rule 402, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>
425	hearsay irrelevant	Rule 802, <u>F.R.C.P.</u> Rule 402, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>
426	hearsay irrelevant	Rule 802, <u>F.R.C.P.</u> Rule 402, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>
447	hearsay inadmissible expert testimony	Rule 802, <u>F.R.C.P.</u> Rule 702, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>
487	hearsay	Rule 802, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>
488	hearsay	Rule 802, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>
489	hearsay	Rule 802, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>

491	hearsay non-compliance with uniform scheduling order failure to produce documents in response to discovery	Rule 802, <u>F.R.E.</u>
492	hearsay non-compliance with uniform scheduling order failure to produce documents in response to discovery	Rule 802, <u>F.R.E.</u>
493	hearsay non-compliance with uniform scheduling order failure to produce documents in response to discovery	Rule 802, <u>F.R.E.</u>
494	hearsay non-compliance with uniform scheduling order failure to produce documents in response to discovery	Rule 802, <u>F.R.E.</u>
499	hearsay inadmissible expert testimony undisclosed expert failure to provide expert witness report pursuant to Rule 26, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>	Rule 802, <u>F.R.C.P.</u> Rule 702, <u>F.R.C.P.</u> Rule 26, <u>F.R.C.P.</u>

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ WILLIAM W. WATTS
WILLIAM W. WATTS, III
[WATTW5095]
bill@alabamatrial.com
[HUDSV1684]
tom@alabamatrial.com
Hudson & Watts, LLP
Post Office Box 989
Mobile, Alabama 36601

JAMES E. SHLESINGER
Shlesinger, Arkwright &
Garvey LLP
1420 King Street, Suite 600
Alexandria, Virginia 22314

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on December 31, 2007, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to:

William G. Pecau, Esq.
Rachel M. Marmer, Esq.
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
1330 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20036

Charles B. Paterson, Esq.
Paul A. Clark, Esq.
BALCH & BINGHAM, LLP
105 Tallapoosa Street, Suite 200
Montgomery, Alabama 36104

/s/ WILLIAM W. WATTS